DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 473 855 SE 067 319

AUTHOR	Tomlin, Alison
TITLE	Real Life in Everyday and Academic Maths.
PUB DATE	2002-00-00
NOTE	13p.; Paper presented at the Mathematics, Education and Society Conference (MES3) (3rd, Helsingor, Denmark, April 2-7, 2002).
PUB TYPE	Reports - Descriptive (141)
EDRS PRICE	EDRS Price MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS	Action Research; *Adult Education; Basic Skills; Discourse Analysis; Educational Policy; Foreign Countries; *Mathematics Curriculum; Mathematics Instruction; Participatory Research
IDENTIFIERS	*United Kingdom

ABSTRACT

This paper draws on data from a participant action research project with adult basic mathematics students in inner-city areas of south London. The students all attended general basic mathematics courses and most of the students also attended literacy courses. The data challenges the view that curricula for basic mathematics should be determined by tutors' or policymakers' notations of real life mathematics. The idea that we can predict, for students, what real life means or that we can always help with the mathematics that students need in their everyday lives is argued against. The claim that adults returning to basic mathematics should be offered curricula and learning material from everyday life or real life drawn from UK national policy is examined. Some snapshots of data drawn from the students and the research that challenges its dominant perspective are presented. Tools from critical discourse analysis were used to analyze the data. (Author/KHR)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made
from the original document.

ED 473 855

'Real Life' in Everyday and Academic Maths

Alison Tomlin

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

☒ This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

A. Tomlin

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

SE067319

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

'Real life' in everyday and academic maths

Alison Tomlin, King's College London[1]

Data from a participant action research project with basic education students is used to challenge dominant notions of adult basic mathematics students' needs for 'real' and 'everyday life' contexts for mathematics.

1 Introduction

This paper draws on data from a participant action research project with adult basic mathematics students, in inner-city areas of south London (Tomlin, 2000; Tomlin, 2001). The students were all attending general basic mathematics courses (two hours a week) for which, in the period when we did the research, there was no set curriculum in the UK and course content was negotiated between students and tutor. Most of the students also attended literacy courses. The data challenges the view that curricula for basic mathematics should be determined by tutors' or policy-makers' notions of 'real life' mathematics. I don't want to argue against using mathematics from students' 'everyday lives'. However, I do want to argue against the idea that we can predict, for students, what 'real life' means, or that we can always help with the mathematics that students need in their everyday lives.

First, I look at examples of the claim that adults returning to basic mathematics should be offered curricula and learning materials from 'everyday' or 'real' life, drawn from UK national policy and the work of Marilyn Frankenstein, Roseanne Benn and Jeff Evans. I will then present some snapshots of data drawn from the students' and my research which, I argue, challenge this dominant perspective. In analysing the data I used tools from critical discourse analysis (e.g. Fairclough, 1992; Gee, 1999), which helped me to recognise my own position, both as a dominant voice in the classroom and as someone who believes, as do many in adult basic education, in the importance of a 'real life' focus.

2 The claim that adults should work on mathematics from 'real life'

This claim seems common sense – the implied alternatives are 'unreal', or 'abstract', and sound like the kind of mathematics many students have suffered

---

[1] Originally published as: Tomlin, A. (2002). 'Real life' in everyday and academic maths. In P. Valero & O. Skovsmose (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Mathematics, Education and Society Conference (MES3), 2nd - 7th April 2002, Helsingør, Denmark* (Vol. 2, pp. 471-479). Roskilde, Denmark: Centre for Research in Learning Mathematics, Danish University of Education.

SE027319

in the past. Indeed, it may have contributed to their being failed in school mathematics. The claim that adults should work on mathematics from 'real life' comes from different perspectives within education; those I cite particularly address adult education.

2.1 UK official policy

Here I point to the place of 'real life' in the new UK adult basic skills curriculum. The teacher's job is to relate mathematics skills, seen as universal, to the students' personal contexts. *Everyday, familiar, straightforward* and *practical* are used as descriptors for levels and content. These definitions are from the glossary:

> Everyday: an adjective used to describe numbers, measures, units, instruments, etc. that fall within the daily lived experience of most people in non-specialist contexts. (DfEE and Basic Skills Agency, 2001, p. 89)
>
> Familiar: describes contexts, situations, numbers, measures, instruments, etc. of which the learner has some prior knowledge or experience. (p. 89)
>
> Straightforward: describes information, subjects and materials that learners often meet in their work, studies or other activities. (p. 91)

'Practical' is not defined, but we can work out possible meanings from the contexts in which it is used. Here is one example: at Entry Level 2, learners should 'use and interpret +, -, x and = in practical situations for solving problems' (p. 26). The sample activities for this section are:

- Match words to symbols, using a range of vocabulary
- Match word problems to written calculations
- Translate single-step word problems into symbols and solve. (p. 27)

'Practical' here seems to mean the use of spoken or written word problems, the traditional way to bridge the calculation: real world gap.

2.2 A critical perspective

Marilyn Frankenstein, writing from a Freirean perspective (e.g. Freire, 1972), argues for centring the curriculum on students' contexts:

> Teachers can ask students about the issues that concern them at work, about the non-work activities that interest them, about topics they would like to know in more depth, and so forth. These discussions can indicate the starting point for the curriculum. Then the teacher's contribution can be to link up the students' issues with an investigation of the related hegemonic ideologies. (Frankenstein, 1987, pp. 195-6)

and

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

> Hopefully, the more practice students have in creating questions, the more they will become used to asking questions, in school and in their daily lives. (Frankenstein, 1987, p. 210)

UK government policy sees mathematics skills as free-standing, best learned in the students' contexts, and then transferable to new contexts. Frankenstein similarly sees mathematics learning as originating in the students' everyday lives but then seeks to use the learning process as a way towards changing students' lives, as part of a critical agenda. The political stances are radically opposed, and that is reflected in the examples of 'real life' contexts: the UK government's are often domestic or vocational, whereas Frankenstein's are typically drawn from social-economic data. However, the assumptions that mathematics is best learned in real life contexts and that tutors can come to know students' contexts are common to both.

2.3 A citizenship perspective

Roseanne Benn's argues that

> If mathematics is a dynamic, living and cultural product, the contextualisation of problems is essential. (Benn, 1997, p. 38)

Benn seems to imply that it is possible for mathematics problems to have no context. I shall suggest that the 'context' may be the group's own discourse, rather than something drawn from outside the classroom. Benn's suggestions for pedagogy and the curriculum (though not prescriptive) are centred on a citizenship agenda:

> Fostering critical awareness and democratic citizenship for adults through mathematics requires questioning and decision-making, discussion, permitted conflict of opinion and views, challenging of authority, and negotiation. Hence the curriculum must include these components, and materials should include socially-relevant projects, authentic social statistics, accommodate social and cultural diversity and use local cultural resources. (Benn, 1997, p. 88)

My difficulty is that 'fostering critical awareness' is presented here as something that students need and tutors can give; 'challenging of authority' is something timid students need to learn from confident teachers. But the authority may be the teacher's; the challenge may be rejection of this curriculum and a demand for, say, 'straight' fractions.

2.4 Discourse analysis and numeracy practices

Jeff Evans' study of adults' 'numerate practices' is directed in part towards addressing the question, 'How should we teach mathematics so as to support adults' functioning satisfactorily in their work and everyday lives' (Evans, 2000, p. 289). That purpose for the teaching of mathematics seems to be assumed, rather than argued through. In other words, 'satisfactory functioning' has

become a standard purpose for adult mathematics education; it has attained the status of 'common sense'. I don't seek to challenge that purpose of itself, but to raise questions about whether it should be the sole purpose (and in particular what place students' purposes have in teachers', policy and research discourses), and about the relation of tutors' and policy-makers' discourses to students' 'everyday lives'.

Next I give two examples of students' work on mathematics from an 'unreal' world.

3 Students' work on 'unreal' mathematics

3.1 A word problem

Word problems are typically used in an attempt to bridge the gap between problems in the real world and abstract mathematics symbols and calculation. This problem was written by a student, Tanya, as a contribution to her group's work on the topic of money:

> There are 12 people waiting to use the phone. Each person spends 28p a minute and 3 minutes and 45 seconds on each call.
> a) how much does each call cost?
> b) how much do all the calls cost?
> c) how long does caller number 9 have to wait before it's his turn to use the phone?
> d) how long does caller number 11 have to wait?

This is a perfectly-crafted example of the word problem genre (Gerofsky, 1996). Word problems are very often a trigger for panic and anxiety, but Tanya's group worked through this problem together and commented on enjoying it. It required more difficult mathematics than Tanya expected. No-one knew how to find the cost of a call (most tried 28 x 1.45 rather than 28 x 1.75); caller number 9's wait required the time multiplied by 8, not 9; no-one, including Tanya, found any of the questions easy. The notion of a telephone queue is drawn from real life, but its associated 'problems', in my experience, would be whether it took cards or coins, and whether I had the right change. The students of course knew it was not a realistic question (as someone said, 'Caller number 11 would be long gone') but committed themselves to its solution. What gave the problem meaning was the writer of the problem and her relationship with the group: people tried it at first perhaps because it would be rude to ignore a colleague's problem; no-one knew the answers (unlike a textbook problem); they worked through it together, not in isolation, and shared ideas. Had this problem been in a textbook and set by a tutor, I suggest it would have triggered quite different feelings for the students; the problem's meaning came from its discursive production and context, not from the immediate text.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

3.2 Fractions

The second example shows a challenges to my authority through demands for 'unreal' mathematics. The story concerns two different groups of students; the link is my own persistence in thinking the adult basic mathematics curriculum should be based in demands arising from students' 'real' lives. The first discussion followed on from a students' conference, and the second was an end-of-year meeting.

After the students' conference

The students' conference had included discussion of what students characterised as 'everyday' and 'textbook' mathematics. I called on 'real life' to justify my arguments against work on fractions. I was immediately challenged:

Alison	*The fractions, you just don't use it in real life, at all, ever, I don't think.*
Jeremy	*You do, you do, you do.*
Alison	*When?*
Tracy	*Actually I think you do.*
Jeremy	*That's where you're wrong, because [in] the topic I was studying [accountancy], right, they had to write all the fractions out in the book for you, so you could work out the 8%, the 10%, the 15%, 25%.*
Alison	*Oh yeah, percentages, yeah.*
Shazia	*Percentages, but that comes into fractions as well, doesn't it. 25% is a quarter.*
Alison	*Yeah.*
Jeremy	*No, no no, no, but they show you the fractions, like say you've got a 100 pounds, how to work the VAT [tax] at 8% or 25% or 15%. To make sure you got it right, they worked (.) they did the fractions, and they were put beside the percentages.*
Alison	*Right. But you can, you see, you can do percentages by decimals instead of by fractions, and I think it's easier. If you do it by decimals, I think it's easier.*
Shazia	*Quick, write that [i.e. the method] down! [laughing]*
Lorraine	*Show us how you do that.*
Jeremy	*That's what it was in the book, and it was quite clearly explained.*
Antoinette	*We went to a shop, a store, and they have (.) three third -*
Alison	*A third, a third off, or something.*
Antoinette	*Yeah.*
Shazia	*Yeah, you do -*

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Alison *Yeah, it's true. Ok, I'll back down.*

This exchange made me realise that students saw me as being too general in my argument against fractions. I still believed that in everyday life people did not need to use more complicated fractions, but recognised I needed to distinguish between these and Antoinette's 'a third off'.

The following day: an end-of-term discussion

My agenda included discussion of students' options for the following year, and checking aspects of the research project, including how students wanted to be identified in anything I wrote; I did not intend any work on fractions at all. The group discussed topics in mathematics which they wanted to pursue, and mentioned fractions, at first in the context of the requirements for entry into the next level of mathematics course. I had the previous day's discussion in my mind, and I commented,

> *things like five sevenths multiplied by four fifths ... you never do it in your normal life, do you?*

Joyce said,

> *You learn it, and then you forget all about it, because it's never necessary.*

Meanwhile, Dave had been working on it: *I got 0.5714285 for that*, and then Joyce worked out an answer: *Four seventh. And what the hell is four sevenths?*

My suggestion that complicated fractions were unnecessary (except for higher level courses) had backfired on me. I tried to get off the fractions topic, but Joyce didn't want to stop:

> *If somebody ask you that question [5/7 x 4/5] now, you know like you just mention it. It's not a thing you're going to <u>use</u> anyway. Just to know how to do it ...*

In responding to Joyce, I said,

> *Where it comes in useful is when you're doing algebra, to know that when you're multiplying fractions you multiply the top by the top and the bottom by the bottom..*[2]*Those rules come in useful later on ...*

I was suggesting a context for which I thought work on the standard algorithms for fractions calculations would be 'useful', even though Joyce had implied that usefulness was not the only criterion for deciding whether a topic was worth

---

[2] 'Top' and 'bottom' are often used in English for 'numerator' and 'denominator'.

studying. I had given the multiplication rule as an example only, but Dave interrupted me:

> *What did you say, multiply the top by the top and the bottom by the bottom? But that's only if you've got the same numbers on the bottom, isn't it?*

This question revealed an error, and then Dave gave me an example to work on (4/5 x 4/7). We did that work, and I tried again to get back to my own view:

> *But ... this is not useful, I don't think, you can go through the whole of your life without this, but in mathematics, in later studies in mathematics, suppose you had half of some number and you don't know what this number is ...*

... and we worked on solving equations (e.g. 3x/2 = 6) for about 10 minutes.

I then firmly changed the subject and asked the students about further participation in the research; then the conversation drifted onto holidays. Dave interrupted us:

> *Can I just show you something ... I just want to know whether this is correct or not or whether I'm missing something, a stage, out.*

This turned out to be division of fractions.

I returned again to my own agenda, asking the students what names they wanted me to use for them in writing up research, and checking with each student individually. As soon as each student had replied, Joyce started up the fractions discussion again. She had meanwhile been working with Violet on fractions problems they set themselves:

> *Well we still want to learn, what we take this thing for. Right, I'm doing this, trying to, this is something I want to remember, as I go along... this one we just done, the multiply. Four sevens twenty-eight, 28 times 2, something six, fifty-six?* (The calculation was 4/5 x 7/10 x 2/7.)

This story shows me, as tutor, several times being pushed back into a fractions lesson. The fractions were 'meaningless' in that they had no content beyond the numbers themselves: the four-fifths were not four-fifths of the population, of a pizza, or of a budget. Yet the manipulation of fractions seemed to be, for that hour or so, the only subject that had real interest for the students.

Why were the students so interested in the abstract manipulation of 'meaningless' fractions? I didn't ask that question - perhaps I should have, but I was concerned with my own agenda. I can suggest some possible answers based

both on these transcripts and other conversations. Many students associate fractions with being failed in school mathematics. Some for that reason seek to avoid fractions; others want to overcome that earlier failure. Some of the group wanted to check they were up to the required standard for a higher level course the following year. The abstract mathematics becomes 'real' through students' engagement with it. In Benn's terms (quoted above) the students 'challenged authority' – but it was the teacher's authority; they used 'local cultural resources', including their solidarity in working together on their own problems.

Although this group pursued traditional fractions algorithms, they didn't do it in a traditional way. The questions were student-generated and expressed orally, and people worked alone or with friends as they chose. Many of the questions were harder than a tutor would set for a course at this level.

Next I turn to an example of a 'real life' mathematics problem.

4 A real problem: paying for electricity

When I asked a group of students to 'bring in some problem to do with mathematics', Margaret asked the group about her electricity bill. She was moving; she had the choice of a quarterly-read meter (with further choices of payment method, some of which reduced the unit price), pre-paid plastic card (requiring a deposit) or cash meter. She had a low weekly cash income, and wanted to know both which was the cheapest payment method, and which would be easiest to handle. Within the discourse of mathematics education, working out an answer would require checking the unit rates, standing charges and deposits for all payment methods; if relevant (a question in itself) checking Margaret's previous average bills; estimating her likely consumption in the new flat; applying answers from the first questions to Margaret's probable future consumption; and comparing results. This is technically demanding, even with a calculator; for example, unit charges are expressed in pence to two decimal places.

Margaret understood perfectly well that the mathematics involved was 'too difficult' for her - that was exactly why she brought the problem to the class. It was solved, but not by mathematical methods, or at least, not following the steps outlined above. Others in the group advised her that the card would suit her best; their reasons included flexibility (you can charge it up as much as you want) and security. I said I thought a quarterly bill, paid by direct debit, would be cheaper. The students agreed, but all, including Margaret, ruled it out because they could not take the risk of direct debits on small and fluctuating bank accounts.

Real world problems are *real* – Margaret *had* to have a solution, then and there. Margaret knew the other members of her group well enough to tell them she didn't know what to do about her bills. Her colleagues were able to draw on their own experience to suggest a solution – in Luis Moll's terms, they used the group's 'fund of knowledge':

> *funds of knowledge are manifested through events or activities*. That is, funds of knowledge are not possessions or traits of people in the family but characteristics of people-in-an-activity. (Moll & Greenberg, 1992, p. 326; original emphasis)

Margaret's problem points to the folly of assuming that tutors, policy-makers or researchers can predict students' mathematical questions, or always answer them. I could have analysed Margaret's question and made elements of it into a manageable mathematical inquiry - but I could never have known enough about the other variables involved to help her towards a decision. It's manipulative, perhaps, of tutors to seize on 'real life', as we judge it, and slow it down by analysing it. Further, such an analysis, or 'specification of practice', as Jean Lave puts it, may misrepresent the situation:

> The problem is that any curriculum intended to be a specification *of* practice, rather than an arrangement of opportunities *for* practice (for fashioning and resolving ownable dilemmas) is bound to result in the teaching of a misanalysis of practice [...] and the learning of still another [...] In the settings for which it is intended (in everyday transactions), it will appear out of order and will not in fact reproduce "good" practice. (Lave, 1997, p. 33; original emphasis)

Margaret's problem was 'practical, familiar and everyday', in the terms discussed above, and the group's solution was 'straightforward', but it was beyond the competence of the tutor. Margaret's colleague students shared the knowledge of 'people-in-an-activity' – that is, 'good practice' in this context - in ways I could not.

5 Conclusion

Analysis of data from the whole research project showed a consistent demand for an extremely wide range of curricular possibilities. Individual students may choose to work on particular topics (and choices may vary over time), but all the students argued that the available curriculum should be very wide.

One of the ways in which tutors and policy-makers constrain the basic education mathematics curriculum is through our focus on 'real life' and 'everyday' contexts. My concern is not to argue that a basic mathematics curriculum for adults should or should not be based on such contexts, but to raise questions about the basis of the distinction and about the ethics of tutors' being positioned as able to decide what is or is not real life for students.

The data supports an argument that meaning is discursively formed, so that students' engagement with the material (and the potential for learning) depends not on the material itself, but on the discursive contexts of the work, including group relationships, prior experiences and the practices behind the production of the learning materials. 'Academic' mathematics can become the real world;

apparently 'relevant' materials can be meaningless; and some apparently mathematical real world problems may not be soluble through tutors' mediation.

Jean Lave argues that we need to:

> move away from the relation that looms so important because of its theoretical and institutional history - that between the 'everyday', or 'concrete', and the 'theoretical', scholastic abstraction of school maths - towards a different distinction: that between things (real and imaginary) that do and do not engage learners' intentions and attention. (Lave, 1992, p. 88)

In talking as though we (tutors and policy-makers) know or can predict students' everyday lives and maths problems, we risk being profoundly patronising. What is real, everyday or relevant, or has meaning, depends on things far more complicated than tutors can know. They include students' personal aims for the course of study – for example recovering from previous experiences of being failed, or moving flat; students' personal mathematics histories, in and out of education; the history of relationships in the particular group; the discourse of texts, including the practices behind its production – a student-written problem is not treated the same as a text-book problem; the particular discursive contexts at the moment the mathematics is being done; and the tutor's role in the group.

If meaning is discursively formed, as I have argued here, then I think a key element in course planning that lies within tutors' influence is the framing of group discourse - what students are able to say, with what authority, in the classroom. We must stop speaking for students, however kindly we do it; unless there is more space for students' voices, we will never be able to explore, in Lave's terms, 'things (real and imaginary) that do and do not engage learners' intentions and attention.'

Benn, R. (1997). *Adults Count Too: Mathematics for Empowerment*, Leicester, NIACE.

DfEE and Basic Skills Agency. (2001). *Adult Numeracy Core Curriculum*, London, Basic Skills Agency.

Evans, J. (2000). *Adults' Mathematical Thinking and Emotions: A Study of Numerate Practices*, London, RoutledgeFalmer.

Fairclough, N. (1992). *Discourse and Social Change*, Cambridge, UK, Polity Press.

Frankenstein, M. (1987). 'Critical mathematics education: An application of Paulo Freire's epistemology,' in I. Shor (Ed.), *Freire for the Classroom*, Portsmouth, NH: Boynton/Cook., pp. 180-210.

Freire, P. (1972). *Pedagogy of the Oppressed* (Myra Bergman Ramos, Trans.), Harmondsworth, Penguin Books.

Gee, J. P. (1999). *An Introduction to Discourse Analysis: Theory and Method*, London, Routledge.

Gerofsky, S. (1996). 'A Linguistic and Narrative View of Word Problems in Mathematics Education', *For the Learning of Mathematics*, **16**(2), 36-45.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Lave, J. (1992). 'Word problems: A microcosm of theories of learning,' in P. Light & G. Butterworth (Eds.), *Context and cognition: Ways of learning and knowing.*, New York, Harvester Wheatsheaf, pp. 74-92.

Lave, J. (1997). 'The Culture of Acquisition and the Practice of Understanding', in D. Kirshner & J. A. Whitson (Eds.), *Situated Cognition: Social, semiotic, and psychological perspectives*, Mahwah, N.J. and London, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, pp. 17-35.

Moll, L. C., & Greenberg, J. B. (1992). 'Creating zones of possibilities: Combining social contexts for instruction', in L. C. Moll (Ed.), *Vygotsky and Education: Instructional implications and applications of sociohistorical psychology*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, pp. 319 - 348.

Tomlin, A. (2000). 'A "democratic classroom", but who speaks loudest? Research with basic mathematics students', in J. F. Matos & M. Santos (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Second International Mathematics Education and Society Conference*, Lisbon, Centro de Investigaçao em Educaçao, Universidade de Lisboa, pp. 374-383.

Tomlin, A. (2001). *Participatory approaches to work with adult basic mathematics students.* Unpublished PhD thesis, King's College London, London.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

SE067319

U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)

REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: 'Real Life' in Everyday and Academic Maths	
Author(s): Alison TOMLIN	
Corporate Source:	Publication Date: 2002

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY Sample TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) 1	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY Sample TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) 2A	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY Sample TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) 2B
✓ Level 1	Level 2A	Level 2B
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.
If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Signature: Alison Tomlin	Printed Name/Position/Title: Dr. ALISON TOMLIN Research Fellow	
Organization/Address: KING'S COLLEGE LONDON, DEPS, Franklin Wilkins Building, Waterloo Rd, London SE1 9NN, UK.	Telephone: +44 (0) 20 7848 3187	FAX:
	E-Mail Address: alison.tomlin@kcl.ac.uk	Date: 3 Feb '03

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
4483-A Forbes Boulevard
Lanham, Maryland 20706

Telephone: 301-552-4200
Toll Free: 800-799-3742
FAX: 301-552-4700
e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov
WWW: http://ericfacility.org